UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,202	04/16/2004	Kazutoshi Haraguchi	040183	7677	
	7590 12/07/2007 ITOS & HANSON, LLP	EXAMINER			
1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			YOON, TAE H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1796	1796		
			<u></u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ö

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/825,202	HARAGUCHI ET AL.	HARAGUCHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Tae H. Yoon	1796			

	Tae H. Yoon	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
 THE REPLY FILED <u>27 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH		•	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must time ly file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of lowing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which FR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing da	ate of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0	7(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datable of the date for purposes of determining the period of exteor CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three retained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fe ed statutory period for reply originally set	e. The appropriate exte in the final Office action	nsion fee under 37 ; or (2) as set forth
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in cor	npliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of</li> </ol>	• •		because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	·	/i ∈ below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a	)).		
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1	.121. See attached Notice of Non-C	compliant Amendment	t (PTOL -324).
5. $oxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 6-8 and 10.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,9 and 13-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a</li> </ol>			
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing.	ng a Notice of Appeal, but prior to th	e date of filing a brief	will not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appoars ary and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tion of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered see attachment.	but does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		1.
13.		Tell M.	Do
		Tae H Yoon  Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1796

10/825,202 Art Unit: 1796

## ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

Rejection based on JP is withdrawn, but rejection is claims 1, 3-5, 9 and 13-16 (claim 2 had been cancelled as pointed out by applicant) under Lorah et al is maintained for reason of record with following response.

Applicant asserts that a wide variety of monomers in [0031] taught by Lorah et al cannot be viewed as anticipating the present claims, but the examiner disagrees with applicant since Lorah et al teach and **claim** (claim 5) particular water soluble (meth)acrylate esters such as hydroxyethyl (meth)acrylate. Thus, use of said hydroxyethyl (meth)acrylate would be anticipation. With respect to water swelling clay mineral, clays containing cations such as sodium taught in [0042] of Lorah et al is swelling clay inherently as partially evidenced by applicant's teaching on page 8 that mica containing sodium ion is water swelling. And furthermore, clay nanocomposite taught by Lorah et al has a three dimensional network structure inherently since the same monomer and clay are used.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/825,202 Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-273-1000.

Tae H Yoon Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1796

THY/December 4, 2007